UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,695	11/03/2005	Hesson Chung	HANO-003	1212
	7590 12/04/2007 FIELD & FRANCIS LIP	EXAMINER		
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
SUITE 200	LTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST TALO A	1D10, 0A 94303		1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

۱							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/521,695	CHUNG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey T. Palenik	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mic cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2005</u> .						
/	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-6,10,11,16-19,22 and 33-43 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-6,10,11,16-19,22,33-43 are subject	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have been i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
2)  Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-6, 10, 11, 16-19, 22, 38-43, drawn to a paclitaxel composition.

Group II, claims 33-37, drawn to a method of making said composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature because U.S. Patent 6,277,413 teaches an extended release pharmaceutical composition wherein the a physiologically active substance such as paclitaxel (col. 9, line 8) is embedded in a matrix of biodegradable polymers and lipids such as monoglycerides and oils such as triglycerides (col. 8, line 47-56) and emulsifiers such as phospholipids (col. 3, line 25).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Oil Species: Applicant must select one from the group of triglycerides, iodized oil, vegetable oil and animal oil. Depending on the oil selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular oils set forth in the instant claim 6.

Art Unit: 1615

Emulsifier Species: Applicant must select one from the group of phospholipid, non-ionic surfactant, anionic surfactant, cationic surfactant and bile acid. Depending on the emulsifier selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular emulsifiers set forth in the instant claim 11.

Additive Species: Applicant must select one from the group of cremophor, tocopherol, tocopherol acetate, fatty acids, fatty acid esters, fatty acid alcohols, insoluble drugs, alcohols, and polyols. If selecting insoluble drugs, Applicant must select one from anticancer drugs, p-glycoprotein inhibitors, and hepatic metabolism blockers. If selecting alcohols, Applicant must select one from methanol, ethanol, propanol, and isopropanol. If selecting polyols, Applicant must select one from ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and polyethylene glycol. Depending on the insoluble drug type selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular drugs set forth in the instant claim 19.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1615

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Oil Species: Applicant must select one from the group of triglycerides, iodized oil, vegetable oil and animal oil. Depending on the oil selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular oils set forth in the instant claim 6.

Emulsifier Species: Applicant must select one from the group of phospholipid, non-ionic surfactant, anionic surfactant, cationic surfactant and bile acid. Depending on the emulsifier selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular emulsifiers set forth in the instant claim 11.

Additive Species: Applicant must select one from the group of cremophor, tocopherol, tocopherol acetate, fatty acids, fatty acid esters, fatty acid alcohols, insoluble drugs, alcohols, and polyols. If selecting insoluble drugs, Applicant must select one from anticancer drugs, p-glycoprotein inhibitors, and hepatic metabolism blockers. If selecting alcohols, Applicant must select one from methanol, ethanol, propanol, and isopropanol. If selecting polyols, Applicant must select one from ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and polyethylene glycol. Depending on the insoluble drug type selected, Applicant must further select one of the particular drugs set forth in the instant claim 19.

The following claims are generic: 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no special technical feature because U.S. Patent 6,277,413 teaches an extended release pharmaceutical composition wherein the a physiologically active substance such as paclitaxel (col. 9, line 8) is embedded in a matrix of biodegradable polymers and lipids such as monoglycerides and oils such as triglycerides (col. 8, line 47-56) and emulsifiers such as phospholipids (col. 3, line 25).

Art Unit: 1615

No telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims

Art Unit: 1615

directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1615

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey T. Palenik whose telephone number is (571) 270-1966. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 5:00 pm; M-F (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

.

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-

2966.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey T. Palenik

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 Page 8